AN EXTENSION OF THE HARDY–LITTLEWOOD–PÓLYA INEQUALITY

Congming Li, John Villavert

Department of Applied Mathematics University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, CO, USA 80309

Abstract

The Hardy–Littlewood–Pólya (HLP) inequality [1] states that if $a \in l^p$, $b \in l^q$ and

$$p > 1, \ q > 1, \ \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1, \ \lambda = 2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right),$$

then

$$\sum_{r \neq s} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r-s|^{\lambda}} \le C_{p,q} ||a||_p ||b||_q.$$

In this article, we prove the HLP inequality in the case where $\lambda = 1, p = q = 2$ with a logarithm correction, as conjectured by Ding [2]:

$$\sum_{\neq s, 1 \le r, s \le N} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r - s|^{\lambda}} \le (2 \ln N + 1) \|a\|_2 \|b\|_2.$$

In addition, we derive an accurate estimate for the best constant for this inequality.

Keywords: Hardy–Littlewood–Pólya inequality, logarithm correction **2000 MR Subject Classification**: 46A45, 46B45, 49J40

1. Introduction

r

The well-known Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev (HLS) inequality states that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x-y|^{\lambda}} \, dx \, dy \le C_{r,\lambda,n} \|f\|_r \|g\|_s \tag{1}$$

Acta Mathematica Scientia

Email addresses: congming.li@colorado.edu (Congming Li), john.villavert@colorado.edu (John Villavert)

for any $f \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ provided that

$$0 < \lambda < n, 1 < r, s < \infty$$
 with $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\lambda}{n} = 2$

Hardy and Littlewood also introduced a double weighted inequality which was later generalized by Stein and Weiss [3]:

$$\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x|^{\alpha}|x-y|^{\lambda}|y|^{\beta}} \, dx \, dy\right| \le C_{\alpha,\beta,r,\lambda,n} \|f\|_r \|g\|_s \tag{2}$$

where $1 < r, s < \infty$, $0 < \lambda < n, \alpha + \beta \ge 0$,

$$1 - \frac{1}{r} - \frac{\lambda}{n} < \frac{\alpha}{n} < 1 - \frac{1}{r} \text{ and } \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{s} + \frac{\lambda + \alpha + \beta}{n} = 2$$

To obtain the best constant in the weighted Hardy–Littlewood–Sobolev (WHLS) inequality (2), one can maximize the functional

$$J(f,g) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{|x|^{\alpha}|x-y|^{\lambda}|y|^{\beta}} \, dx \, dy$$

with the constraints $||f||_r = ||g||_s = 1$. On the other hand, the Hardy– Littlewood–Pólya (HLP) inequality[1, inequality 381, p.288] [4]—a discrete analogue of the HLS inequality—is provided in the setting of l^p -spaces. More precisely, the HLP inequality states that if $a \in l^p$, $b \in l^q$ and

$$p > 1, q > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1, \lambda = 2 - \left(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}\right),$$

then

$$\sum_{r \neq s} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r-s|^{\lambda}} \le C \|a\|_p \|b\|_q \tag{3}$$

where the constant C depends on p and q only.

The following theorem was conjectured by X. Ding [2]. It can be regarded as an extension of the well-known HLP inequality in the case p = q = 2 and $\lambda = 1$ with a logarithm correction:

Theorem 1. Let p = q = 2 and $\lambda = 2 - \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If $a, b \in l^p$, then

$$\sum_{r \neq s, 1 \le r, s \le N} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r-s|} \le 2(\ln N + 1) \|a\|_2 \|b\|_2.$$
(4)

In fact we shall prove instead the following theorem in which theorem 1 is a consequence.

Theorem 2. Let

$$\lambda_N = \max_{\sum a_r^2 = \sum b_r^2 = 1} \sum_{r \neq s, 1 \le r, s \le N} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r - s|},$$
(5)

then

$$2\ln N - 2 \le \lambda_N \le 2\ln N + 2(1 - \ln 2).$$

Consequently we have:

$$\lambda_N < 2\ln N + 1.$$

2. Proof of Theorem 2

We prove theorem 2 in three main steps. In step 1, we choose $a_r = b_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$ and calculate that

$$\sum_{\substack{r \neq s, 1 \leq r, s \leq N}} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r-s|} \ge 2\ln N - 2$$

This shows that $\lambda_N \ge 2 \ln N - 2$.

In step 2, we derive the Euler-Lagrange equations for the maximizers \overline{a} and \overline{b} .

In step 3, we use the Euler-Lagrange equations to show that

$$\lambda_N \le 2\ln N + 2(1 - \ln 2),$$

thus completing the proof. The calculations in steps 1 and 3 will make use of the following inequalities. For a positive integer M, we have that

$$\ln(M+1) \le \sum_{l=1}^{M} \frac{1}{l} \le 1 + \ln M$$

and

$$\sum_{l=1}^{M} \ln l \ge M \ln M - M + 1.$$

Step 1: Let $a_r = b_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$, then $\sum a_r^2 = \sum b_r^2 = 1$ where the summation is from 1 to *N*. It follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{r \neq s, 1 \le r, s \le N}} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r-s|} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\substack{r \neq s, 1 \le r, s \le N}} \frac{1}{|r-s|}$$
$$= \frac{2}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \sum_{\substack{r=s+1}}^{N} \frac{1}{r-s} = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \sum_{l=1}^{N-s} \frac{1}{l}$$
$$\ge \frac{2}{N} \sum_{s=1}^{N-1} \ln(N-s+1) = \frac{2}{N} \sum_{l=1}^{N} \ln l$$
$$\ge \frac{2}{N} (N \ln N - N + 1)$$
$$\ge 2(\ln N - 1).$$

Using the definition of λ_N along with the preceding calculations, we arrive with the following estimate:

$$\lambda_N \ge 2\ln N - 2. \tag{6}$$

Step 2: We derive the Euler-Lagrange equations for the maximizers of (5). Let

$$J_N(a,b) = \sum_{r \neq s, 1 \le r, s \le N} \frac{a_r b_s}{|r-s|} - \lambda_N \sqrt{\sum_{1 \le r \le N} a_r^2 \sum_{1 \le s \le N} b_s^2}.$$
 (7)

Then by our definition of λ_N , we have $J_N(a, b) \leq 0$, and by compactness, there exist elements \overline{a} and \overline{b} with $\|\overline{a}\|_2 = \|\overline{b}\|_2 = 1$ such that

$$J_N(\overline{a},\overline{b})=0.$$

Thus, we must have $0 = \frac{d}{da_r} J_N(a, b) \Big|_{(a = \overline{a}, b = \overline{b})}$. Taking the derivative directly in (7) about \overline{a}_r , we obtain:

$$\sum_{s \neq r, 1 \le s \le N} \frac{\overline{b}_s}{|r-s|} - \lambda_N \overline{a}_r = 0.$$

Similarly, taking the derivative about \overline{b}_s , we obtain:

$$\sum_{r \neq s, 1 \le r \le N} \frac{\overline{a}_r}{|r-s|} - \lambda_N \overline{b}_s = 0.$$

Combining the above two equations together, we obtain the Euler-Lagrange equations:

$$\begin{cases} \lambda_N \overline{a}_r = \sum_{s \neq r, 1 \le s \le N} \frac{b_s}{|r-s|} \\ \lambda_N \overline{b}_s = \sum_{r \neq s, 1 \le r \le N} \frac{\overline{a}_r}{|r-s|}. \end{cases}$$
(8)

Step 3: Here we will show that $\lambda_N \leq 2 \ln N + 2(1 - \ln 2)$. With a change of sign if necessary, we may assume that

$$a_{r_0} = \max\{|\overline{a}_r|, |\overline{b}_s| : 1 \le r, s \le N\} > 0.$$

In fact, we may assume that all components are non-negative (and consequently positive by (8)), and a_{r_0} is the maximum for some r_0 . Then

$$\lambda_N = \sum_{s \neq r_0, s=1}^N \frac{\overline{b}_s}{a_{r_0} |r_0 - s|} \le \sum_{s \neq r_0, s=1}^N \frac{|\overline{b}_s|}{|a_{r_0}| |r_0 - s|} \le \sum_{s \neq r_0, s=1}^N \frac{1}{|r_0 - s|}$$
$$= \sum_{s=1}^{r_0 - 1} \frac{1}{r_0 - s} + \sum_{s = r_0 + 1}^N \frac{1}{s - r_0} = \sum_{l=1}^{r_0 - 1} \frac{1}{l} + \sum_{l=1}^{N - r_0} \frac{1}{l}$$
$$\le 2 + \ln(r_0 - 1) + \ln(N - r_0) = 2 + \ln((r_0 - 1)(N - r_0))$$
$$\le 2 + \ln\left(\frac{N - 1}{2}\right)^2 \le 2 + \ln\left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^2 = 2 + 2(\ln N - \ln 2).$$

Hence

$$\lambda_N \le 2 \ln N + 2(1 - \ln 2).$$
 (9)

Combining the estimates (6) and (9) yields

$$2\ln N - 2 \le \lambda_N \le 2\ln N + 2(1 - \ln 2).$$

3. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to acknowledge the NSF for their support through the grants DMS-0908097 and EAR-0934647.

References

- [1] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya. *Inequalities*, volume 2. Cambridge at the University Press, 1952.
- [2] X. Ding. In private communication.
- [3] E. B. Stein and G. Weiss. Fractional integrals in n-dimensional *Euclidean* space. *J. Math. Mech.*, 7(1958).
- [4] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya. The maximum of a certain bilinear form. *Proc. L.M.S.* (2), 25(1926):265–282.
- [5] E. B. Stein and G. Weiss. *Introduction to Fourier Analysis on* Euclidean *Spaces*, volume Princeton. Princeton University Press, 1971.
- [6] E. Lieb. Sharp constants in the *Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev* and related inequalities. *Ann. of Math.*, **118**(1983):349–374.
- [7] W. Chen and C. Li. Classification solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations. *Duke Math. J.*, **63**(1991):615–622.
- [8] C. Li W. Chen and B. Ou. Classification of solutions for an integral equation. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **59**(2006):330–343.
- [9] W. Chen and C. Li. The best constant in some weighted *Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev* inequality. *Proc. AMS*, **136**(2008):955–962.